1 A fluorescent sensor for spatiotemporally resolved endocannabinoid dynamics *in* 2 *vitro* and *in vivo*

3

Ao Dong^{1,2,3}, Kaikai He^{1,2}, Barna Dudok⁴, Jordan S Farrell⁴, Wuqiang Guan⁵, Daniel J
 Liput^{6,7}, Henry L Puhl⁷, Ruyi Cai^{1,2}, Jiali Duan^{1,2}, Eddy Albarran⁸, Jun Ding⁹, David M

- 6 Lovinger⁶, Bo Li⁵, Ivan Soltesz⁴, & Yulong Li^{1,2,3,10,*}
- 7
- ¹State Key Laboratory of Membrane Biology, Peking University School of Life Sciences,
 Beijing, China:
- 10 ²PKU-IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Beijing, China;
- ³Peking-Tsinghua Center for Life Sciences, Academy for Advanced Interdisciplinary
- 12 Studies, Peking University, Beijing, China;
- 13 ⁴Department of Neurosurgery, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA, USA;
- 14 ⁵Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY, USA;
- 15 ⁶Laboratory for Integrative Neuroscience, National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and
- 16 Alcoholism, Bethesda, MD, USA;
- ¹⁷ ⁷Laboratory of Molecular Physiology, National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism,
- 18 Bethesda, MD, USA;
- 19 ⁸Neuroscience PhD Program, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA, USA;
- ⁹Department of Neurosurgery, Department of Neurology, Stanford University School of
- 21 Medicine, Palo Alto, CA, USA;
- 22 ¹⁰Chinese Institute for Brain Research, Beijing, China.
- 23
- 24 *Correspondence should be addressed to Y.L. (yulongli@pku.edu.cn).
- 25

Endocannabinoids (eCBs) are retrograde neuromodulators that play an important 26 27 role in a wide range of physiological processes; however, the release and in vivo 28 dynamics of eCBs remain largely unknown, due in part to a lack of suitable probes 29 capable of detecting eCBs with sufficient spatiotemporal resolution. Here, we 30 developed a new eCB sensor called GRAB_{eCB2.0}. This genetically encoded sensor 31 consists of the human CB1 cannabinoid receptor fused to circular-permutated EGFP, providing cell membrane trafficking, second-resolution kinetics, high specificity for 32 33 eCBs, and a robust fluorescence response at physiological eCB concentrations. 34 Using the GRAB_{eCB2.0} sensor, we monitored evoked changes in eCB dynamics in 35 both cultured neurons and acute brain slices. Interestingly, in cultured neurons we 36 also observed spontaneous compartmental eCB transients that spanned a distance 37 of approximately 11 µm, suggesting constrained, localized eCB signaling. Moreover, 38 by expressing GRAB_{eCB2.0} in the mouse brain, we readily observed foot shockelicited and running-triggered eCB transients in the basolateral amygdala and 39 40 hippocampus, respectively. Lastly, we used GRAB_{eCB2.0} in a mouse seizure model and observed a spreading wave of eCB release that followed a Ca²⁺ wave through 41 42 the hippocampus. Thus, $GRAB_{eCB2.0}$ is a robust new probe for measuring the 43 dynamics of eCB release under both physiological and pathological conditions. 44

45 Cannabis derivatives have long been used for medicinal and recreational purposes across 46 many cultures in formulations such as marijuana and hashish¹. Bioactive compounds in 47 cannabis, phytocannabinoids, exert their function by "hijacking" the body's endogenous 48 cannabinoid (endocannabinoid, or eCB) system. The biological function of eCBs-majorly 49 two lipid metabolites 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG) and anandamide (AEA)-is primarily 50 mediated by the activation of type1 and type 2 cannabinoid receptors (CB1R and CB2R)². 51 eCBs are widely distributed throughout the peripheral and central nervous system, where 52 they serve as important neuromodulators. Interestingly, unlike other classical 53 neurotransmitters stored in synaptic vesicles and released from the presynaptic terminal, 54 eCBs are typically produced and released from the postsynaptic compartment in a 55 neuronal activity-dependent manner, then retrogradely travel to the presynaptic terminal 56 and activate the CB1R, activation of which often results in an inhibition of presynaptic 57 neurotransmitter release^{3,4}. In addition, eCBs also play a role in glial cells and in intracellular organelles⁵⁻⁹. In the brain, eCBs participate in the short-term and long-term 58 synaptic plasticity of glutamatergic and gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA)-ergic synapses 59 in a variety of regions, including the cerebral cortex, hippocampus, striatum, ventral 60 tegmental area, amygdala and cerebellum^{4,10}, playing an important role in a wide range of 61 62 physiological processes such as development, emotional state, pain, the sleep/wake cycle, 63 energy metabolism, reward, and learning and memory¹¹⁻¹⁵. Given the broad distribution 64 and variety of functions of eCBs, dysregulation of the eCB system has been associated with a plethora of disorders, including neuropsychiatric and neurodegenerative diseases, 65 66 epilepsy, cancer, and others¹⁶⁻¹⁸. The eCB system has therefore emerged as a promising target for treating neurological diseases^{19,20}. 67

68 Although we know much about the eCB biochemistry and physiology, the 69 spatiotemporal dynamics of eCB release in the brain remains largely unknown. Synaptic 70 transmission mediated by classic neurotransmitters such as glutamate and GABA and their respective ionotropic receptors can occur in a timescale on the order of milliseconds and 71 72 is generally spatially confined to the synaptic cleft in the nanometer range²¹. In contrast, 73 signaling via endocannabinoid receptors is believed to last on the order of seconds and 74 over a distance on the order of tens of microns. However, this assumption has not been 75 tested directly, largely because existing methods for measuring eCB signaling lack the necessary spatiotemporal resolution. For example, although qualitative and quantitative 76 77 measurement of eCBs in brain tissues can provide valuable information regarding eCB 78 levels, these measurements usually require the extraction, purification and analysis of 79 lipids by chromatography and mass spectrometry^{22,23}, therefore, this approach has poor 80 spatial and temporal resolution and cannot be used to measure eCBs in vivo. Another 81 approach is electrophysiology coupled with pharmacology and/or genetics, which is often 82 used to indirectly measure eCB activity by measuring eCB-mediated synaptic modulation²⁴⁻²⁷; however, this method is mostly used in *in vitro* preparations and has 83 84 relative low spatial resolution. Another method microdialysis, while challenging for 85 hydrophobic lipid molecules, has been used to monitor eCB abundance in the brain during pharmacological manipulations and behaviors^{28,29}, but it has a long sampling interval (at 86 least 5 minutes) that is well beyond the time scale of synaptic plasticity mediated by eCBs 87 88 (~sub-second to seconds), preventing the accurate detection of eCBs in real time in vivo.

Therefore, development of an *in vivo* eCB detection tool with satisfactory spatiotemporal resolution would meet a clear need in this field.

91 Recently, our group and others developed a series of genetically-encoded tools for 92 sensing neurotransmitters and neuromodulators based on G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) and circular-permutated (cp) fluorescent proteins³⁰⁻³⁸. Using this highly 93 94 successful strategy, we developed a novel GPCR activation-based (GRAB) eCB sensor 95 called GRAB_{eCB2.0} (or simply eCB2.0) based on the human CB1R and cpEGFP. The 96 eCB2.0 sensor has high specificity for eCBs, kinetics on the order seconds, and a 97 fluorescence response of approximately 800% to 2-AG and 550% to AEA, respectively. After validating the in vitro performance of eCB2.0 in both cultured cells and acute brain 98 99 slices, we then expressed the sensor in mice and reliably monitored foot-shock evoked 100 eCB signals in the basolateral amygdala in freely moving mice and eCB dynamics in the 101 mouse hippocampus during running and seizure activity.

102

103 **RESULTS**

104 Development and *in vitro* characterization of GRAB_{eCB} sensors

105 Among the two eCB receptors, we chose CB1R as the scaffold for developing a GRAB 106 eCB sensor, as this receptor has a higher affinity for eCBs than CB2R³⁹. We first inserted 107 the intracellular loop 3 (ICL3)-cpEGFP module of our recently developed GRAB_{NE} sensor³³ 108 into the corresponding ICL3 in the human CB1R (Fig. 1a). After screening various insertion 109 sites and GRABNE ICL3 truncation constructs, we generated the first-generation eCB 110 sensor called GRAB_{eCB1.0} (eCB1.0), which showed a moderate response (100% increase 111 in fluorescence) to ligand and an apparent affinity of 3 µM for 2-AG (Fig. 1b and Extended Data Fig. 1a). To improve the dynamic range of our eCB sensor, we then selected 8 112 113 residues in cpEGFP for individual randomized mutation based our the experience gained through the development of previous GRAB sensors^{30,32-34,36-38} (Extended Data Fig. 1b). 114 115 Combining several single-mutation candidates—each with improved performance resulted in the GRAB_{eCB1.5} sensor (eCB1.5), which has a 2-fold higher response than 116 117 eCB1.0 (Extended Data Fig. 1a). We next focused on the receptor's ligand binding pocket in order to further improve the sensor's dynamic range and affinity. The residues F177^{2.64}, 118 V196^{3.32} and S383^{7.39} were selected for targeted screening based on the studies of CB1R 119 120 structure⁴⁰⁻⁴⁵ (Extended Data Fig. 1c). Interestingly, we found that introducing the S383^{7.39}T mutation in eCB1.5 produced an increased response to 2-AG with a similar 121 apparent affinity, whereas adding the F177^{2.64}A mutation abolished the response to 2-AG 122 123 (Extended Data Fig. 1a). We therefore selected the eCB1.5 with the S383^{7.39}T mutation 124 as the second-generation GRAB_{eCB2.0} sensor (eCB2.0), and eCB1.5 with both the 125 S383^{7.39}T and F177^{2.64}A mutations as a non-responsive negative control, which we call 126 GRAB_{eCBmut} sensor (eCBmut) (Extended Data Fig. 2).

127 When expressed in HEK293T cells, both the eCB2.0 and eCBmut sensors trafficked 128 to the cell membrane (**Fig. 1c**). Upon ligand application, eCB2.0 had a concentration-129 dependent fluorescence increases to both 2-AG and AEA, with a maximum response of 130 approximately 2 fold relative to baseline and the half maximal effective concentrations 131 (EC₅₀) for 2-AG and AEA of 7.2 μ M and 0.5 μ M, respectively; in contrast, eCBmut showed 132 no response to 2-AG or AEA at all concentrations tested (**Fig. 1d**). We then tested whether 133 the sensor's response is specific to eCBs compared to other neurotransmitters. We found 134 that eCB2.0 responded robustly to both 10 μ M AEA and 2-AG, and the response was 135 abolished by the CB1R inverse agonist AM251; moreover, no other neurotransmitters or 136 neuromodulators tested elicited a response in cells expressing eCB2.0 (**Fig. 1e**).

137 Next, we measured the kinetics of eCB2.0 signaling using a rapid localized solution 138 application system in which compounds were puffed directly on the cell (Fig. 1f). To measure the onset rate (Ton), 100 µM 2-AG was puffed on eCB2.0 expressing cell; to 139 140 measure the offset rate (τ_{off}), 100 μ M AM251 was puffed in the presence of 10 μ M 2-AG. 141 Using this approach, we measured averaged T_{on} and T_{off} values of 1.6 s and 11.2 s, 142 respectively (Fig. 1g). To examine whether eCB sensors couple with intracellular signaling 143 pathways, we measured G-protein activation using a G_{By} bioluminescence resonance 144 energy transfer (BRET) sensor based on the $G_{\beta\gamma}$ binding region of phosducin fused to 145 NanoLuc luciferase. This unified BRET sensor was based upon similar systems^{46,47}. Treating cells expressing CB1R with 2-AG induced a robust increase in BRET, consistent 146 147 with G protein activation; in contrast, 2-AG had no effect on BRET in mock-transfected control cells or in cells expressing either eCB2.0 or eCBmut (Fig. 1h). We also measured 148 β-arrestin recruitment using the Tango GPCR assay⁴⁸ and found that AEA induced a robust, 149 150 concentration-dependent response in cells expressing CB1R but had no effect in control 151 cells or cells expressing either eCB2.0 or eCBmut (Fig. 1i). Taken together, these data 152 indicate that our eCB2.0 sensor binds eCBs but does not couple to downstream effector 153 proteins and therefore likely does not affect cellular physiology.

154 We then examined the expression pattern of the eCB sensor in neurons by sparsely 155 expressing eCB2.0 in cultured rat cortical neurons. We found that eCB2.0 trafficked to the 156 entire neuronal cell membrane, including the axons and dendrites, as shown by 157 colocalization with the axonal presynaptic marker synaptophysin-mScarlet and the postsynaptic marker PSD95-mScarlet (Fig. 2a). To measure the response of eCB2.0 in 158 neurons, we infected cultured rat cortical neurons using an adeno-associated virus (AAV) 159 expressing either eCB2.0 or eCBmut under the control of the human SYN1 (synapsin) 160 161 promoter to drive expression in all neurons (Fig. 2b). We found that both 2-AG and AEA 162 elicited concentration-dependent fluorescence responses in neurons expressing eCB2.0, with a maximum fluorescence increase of 800% and 550%, respectively, and an EC₅₀ value 163 164 of 17.2 µM and 0.7 µM, respectively; in contrast, neither 2-AG nor AEA elicited a response 165 in neurons expressing eCBmut, even at 100 µM (Fig. 2b,c). We also found that eCB2.0 166 responses in neurites were higher than in somata (Fig. 2d). Finally, bath application of the 167 CB1R agonist WIN55212-2-which can activate eCB2.0 in HEK293T cells (Extended 168 Data Fig. 3a)—to eCB2.0-expressing neurons induced a fluorescence increase that was 169 stable for up to 2 hours and blocked completely by AM251 (Fig. 2e), suggesting that the 170 sensor does not undergo arrestin-mediated internalization or desensitization and can be 171 used for long-term monitoring of eCB activity.

172

173 eCB2.0 can be used to measure endogenous eCBs in primary cultured neurons

Cultured neurons are commonly used for studying eCB mediated synaptic modulation^{27,49}.
 We therefore examined whether our eCB2.0 sensor can be used to detect the release of

176 endogenous eCB in cultured rat cortical neurons expressing eCB2.0 together with a red

glutamate sensor R^{ncp}-iGluSnFR⁵⁰. Applying electrical field stimuli (100 pulses at 50 Hz) 177 elicited robust eCB and glutamate signals (Fig. 3a), demonstrating that eCB2.0 can reliably 178 report endogenous eCB release and is compatible with red fluorescent indicators. We then 179 expressed eCB2.0 in neurons loaded with a red fluorescent Ca²⁺ dye Calbryte-590 in order 180 to simultaneously measure eCB release and changes in intracellular Ca²⁺. Applying 100 181 182 field stimuli at 50 Hz elicited robust responses with respect to both intracellular Ca²⁺ and eCB release (Fig. 3b). Moreover, the rise and decay kinetics of the calcium signal were 183 184 faster than those of the eCB signal, consistent with the notion that eCB release requires neuronal activity⁵¹. We also found a strong correlation between the peak Ca²⁺ signal and 185 the peak eCB signal when applying increasing numbers of stimuli ($R^2 = 0.99$, Fig. 3c); 186 importantly, in the absence of extracellular Ca²⁺, even 20 pulses were unable to elicit either 187 188 a Ca²⁺ signal or an eCB2.0 response (Fig. 3c), confirming the requirement of calcium 189 activity on eCB release.

190 Next, we asked which specific eCB-2-AG and/or AEA-is released in cultured rat cortical neurons. 2-AG is mainly produced in neurons from diacylglycerol (DAG) by 191 diacylglycerol lipase (DAGL), while AEA is mainly produced from N-arachidonoyl 192 193 phosphatidylethanolamine (NAPE) via the enzyme NAPE-hydrolyzing phospholipase D 194 (NAPE-PLD) (Fig. 3d). We found that the selective DAGL inhibitor DO34⁵² eliminated the 195 stimulus-evoked eCB2.0 signal within 30 min; as a positive control, subsequent application 196 of the CB1R agonist WIN55212-2 restored eCB2.0 fluorescence, indicating that the sensor 197 is still present in the cell membrane (Fig. 3e,f). We also examined the effect of blocking 198 the degradation of 2-AG and AEA via the enzymes monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL) and fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) using the inhibitors JZL184⁵³ and URB597⁵⁴. 199 200 respectively (Fig. 3g). We found that blocking MAGL significantly increased the decay time 201 constant, while blocking FAAH had only a slight—albeit significant—effect on the decay 202 time constant. Taken together, these data indicate that 2-AG is the principal eCB released 203 from cultured rat cortical neurons in response to electrical stimuli.

204 In addition to the stimuli-evoked eCB signals, we also observed local, transient eCB2.0 205 signals in neurons that occurred spontaneously in the absence of external stimulation (Fig. 206 3j). The peak amplitude and rise kinetics of these transient eCB2.0 signals were smaller 207 and slower compared to the signal measured in response to a single electrical stimulus recording in the same region of interest (ROI) (Fig. 3k,I), suggesting that evoked and 208 209 spontaneous eCB release have distinct patterns. The average diameter of the 210 spontaneous transient signals was 11.3 µm based on our analysis of full width at half 211 maximum (FWHM) (Fig. 3m), consistent with previous suggestions that eCB acts 212 locally^{55,56}. Finally, the CB1R inverse agonist AM251 eliminated the spontaneous transient 213 eCB2.0 signals (Fig. 3l,n,o).

214

215 eCB2.0 can be used to measure eCB release in acute mouse brain slices

Next, we examined whether our eCB sensor can be used to detect endogenous eCB release in a more physiologically relevant system, namely acute mouse brain slices. We first injected AAVs expressing either eCB2.0 or eCBmut into the dorsolateral striatum (DLS) of adult mice (**Fig. 4a**), the region where eCB mediates both short-term and long-term depression and regulates motor behavior⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹. Four weeks after AAV injection, acute brain 221 slices were prepared, showing the expression of eCB sensors in DLS (Fig. 4b). The fluorescence signals evoked by electrical stimuli in the DLS were recorded by photometry. 222 We found that applying electrical stimuli in eCB2.0-expressing slices evoked clear 223 224 fluorescence signals, with stronger responses evoked by increasing the number of stimuli 225 and by increasing the stimulation frequency (Fig. 4c,d). The half-rise time and decay time 226 constant ranged from 0.8–1.2 s and 5.2–8.5 s, respectively, depending on the number of 227 pulses and the stimulation frequency (Fig. 4d). Moreover, the signal was specific to eCB 228 release, as pretreating the slices with 10 µM AM251 blocked the response, and no response was measured in slices expressing the eCBmut mutant sensor (Fig. 4e). In a 229 230 separate experiment, the expression of eCB2.0 in neurites in a striatal slice was detected 231 by 2-photon (2P) fluorescence microscopy; applying AEA induced an increase of eCB2.0 232 fluorescence that was reversed by AM251 (Extended Data Fig. 4).

233 We also expressed the eCB2.0 in the hippocampal CA1 region (Fig. 4f), in which eCB modulates both excitatory and inhibitory inputs^{60,61}, and then recorded eCB2.0 signals in 234 235 acute slices using 2P microscopy. Consistent with our results measured in in the DLS, we 236 found that applying an increasing number of electrical stimuli at 20 Hz evoked increasingly 237 larger changes in eCB2.0 fluorescence (Fig. 4g,h). In addition, applying 10 µM AEA to the 238 slices caused a large increase in eCB2.0 fluorescence that was reversed by 10 µM AM251 239 (Fig. 4i). Finally, AM251 eliminated the signal induced by even 100 field stimuli (Fig. 4j). 240 These in vitro data confirm that eCB2.0 can be used to reliably detect the endogenous 241 release of eCBs in acute brain slices with high sensitivity, specificity, and spatiotemporal 242 resolution.

243

eCB2.0 can be used to measure foot shock-induced eCB release in the basolateral amygdala of freely moving mice

246 The basolateral amygdala (BLA) is a key brain region mediating fear responses and 247 processing aversive memories⁶². Previous studies found that the CB1R is highly expressed in the BLA, and the eCB system in BLA participates in stress expression⁶³⁻⁶⁵. We therefore 248 249 tested whether our eCB2.0 sensor could be used to directly measure eCB dynamics in vivo 250 while applying an aversive stimulus (foot shock); for these experiments, we injected AAV vectors expressing either eCB2.0 or eCBmut together with AAVs expressing the mCherry 251 252 in the mouse BLA and then performed fiber photometry recording (Fig. 5a,b). We found 253 that applying a 2-sec foot shock induced a time-locked transient increase in eCB2.0 254 fluorescence in the BLA (Fig. 5c); this response was highly reproducible over 5 255 consecutive trials (Fig. 5d). Importantly, the same foot shock had no effect on either 256 mCherry fluorescence or eCBmut fluorescence (Fig. 5c,e). The average time constant for 257 the rise and decay phases of the eCB2.0 signal was 1.0 s and 6.3 s, respectively (Fig. 5f). 258 These data indicate that eCB2.0 can be used to measure eCB dynamics in vivo in freely 259 moving animals.

260

261 Dual-color imaging of eCB2.0 and a genetically encoded Ca²⁺ indicator expressed in

the mouse hippocampal CA1 region measured during running and seizure activity

263 Our finding that eCB2.0 can be expressed in the mouse hippocampal CA1 region and then 264 measured in acute slices led us to ask whether we could use this sensor to measure *in* 265 vivo eCB dynamics in the CA1 region during physiologically relevant activity such as running. We therefore injected AAVs expressing eCB2.0 or eCBmut together with a red 266 Ca²⁺ indicator jRGECO1a⁶⁶ into mouse hippocampal CA1 region and then conducted 267 268 head-fixed 2P dual-color imaging through an implanted cannula above the hippocampus 269 (Fig. 6a). Co-expression of eCB2.0 and jRGECO1a was clearly observed in neurons in the 270 CA1 4-6 weeks after virus injection (Fig. 6b). We focused on the stratum pyramidale layer, 271 which is composed of pyramidal neuron somata and interneuron axons, including a class 272 that densely express CB1R. When mice spontaneously ran on a treadmill (Fig. 6c), we 273 found rapid increases of both calcium and eCB signals aligned to the start of running, and 274 decreases of both signals when the running stopped (Fig. 6d,e). In the control group, which 275 expressed eCBmut and iRGECO1a, calcium signals were intact while eCBmut showed no 276 fluorescence change (Fig. 6d,e). Interestingly, the calcium signal appeared earlier than the 277 eCB signal, although both signals had similar 10%-90% rise time, while the half-time of the 278 decay phase of eCB signal was slower than that of the calcium signal (Fig. 6f).

279 Epilepsy is a neurological disease characterized by excessive and synchronous 280 neuronal firing. eCBs are proposed to provide negative feedback during epilepsy to 281 attenuate the synaptic activity and protect the nervous system, which is exemplified by the 282 observation that animals with compromised eCB system all exhibit a pro-epileptic 283 phenotype⁶⁷. To explore whether our eCB2.0 sensor could be used to study seizure-related 284 eCB signals in vivo, we used electrical kindling stimulation of the hippocampus 285 contralateral to the sensor expressing hemisphere to elicit brief self-terminating seizures 286 (measured using local field potential (LFP) recording) (Fig. 6g). We found strong calcium 287 and eCB signal increases during electrical seizure activity (Fig. 6h). Recent work has 288 shown that seizures are often followed by a spreading calcium wave that propagates 289 across the cell layer⁶⁸. Interestingly, we also found a propagating eCB wave that closely 290 followed the calcium wave (Fig. 6h, Extended Data Fig.5 and Supplementary Video 1). In contrast, eCBmut showed no response during and after seizures (Fig. 6i). The velocity 291 292 and direction of eCB waves were evident when we extracted the eCB2.0 signal from 293 individual neurons in the field of view (Fig. 6j,k). Notably, eCB waves and calcium waves 294 varied across experiment sessions and animals (Fig. 6I), but for each instance, the calcium and eCB waves were similar, in agreement with the calcium- and activity-dependence of 295 296 the eCB signal. Taken together, our results confirm that the eCB2.0 sensor can be used to 297 measure eCB dynamics in vivo under both physiological and pathological conditions, with 298 high specificity and spatiotemporal resolution.

299

300 DISCUSSION

Here, we report the development and characterization of a genetically-encoded fluorescent sensor for detecting eCBs both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. With high sensitivity, selectivity and kinetics, this novel eCB sensor can be used to detect endogenous eCB release in cultured neurons, acute brain slices and in specific brain structures *in vivo* such as the amygdala and hippocampus during both physiological and pathological activities.

306 Our estimate of Ton and Toff kinetics measured for eCB2.0 in cultured neurons at room 307 temperature is likely high, given that a faster time constant was measured in acute slices 308 and in our *in vivo* experiments. Nevertheless, given that the temporal resolution of eCB2.0 is on the order of seconds, this tool is a vast improvement compared to microdialysis (with temporal resolution on the order of minutes), although the sensor's kinetics could be improved even further in order to capture more rapid signals⁶⁹. In addition, the eCB2.0 sensor can detect both 2-AG and AEA; given that 2-AG and AEA regulate distinct pathways and are involved in different brain regions and cell types⁴, next-generation GRAB_{eCB} sensors should be developed with non-overlapping eCB specificity, as well as nonoverlapping color spectra.

316 The retrograde modulation of synaptic activity by eCBs was previously identified by 317 studying depolarization-induced suppression of inhibition (DSI) and excitation (DSE) in the hippocampus and cerebellum^{24,25,27}. However, because these experiments and 318 subsequent studies used electrophysiological recordings of synaptic transmission 319 320 combined with either pharmacological interventions (e.g., to activate or inhibit eCB 321 receptors or to inhibit enzymes involved in the production or degradation of eCBs) or 322 genetic manipulation (e.g., by knocking out the corresponding receptors and enzymes), 323 they lacked the ability to directly measure eCB release. Moreover, recording at the cell 324 body of a neuron does not provide precise spatial information with respect to eCB release. 325 For example, DSI recorded using paired whole-cell recordings in hippocampal slices 326 indicates that depolarization of one neuron can inhibit GABAergic input to neurons within 327 approximately 20 µm, suggesting the upper limit of diffusion for eCBs from a single 328 neuron²⁴; similar results were obtained in cerebellar slices using two separate stimulating 329 electrodes to evoke eCB release from two dendritic regions in a single Purkinje cell⁵⁵. 330 Although these data indicate that eCB signaling is relatively localized and tightly controlled, 331 the detailed spatial profile of eCB signaling is unknown. In addition, although the sampling 332 rate of electrophysiological recordings is generally high (e.g., on the order of several kHz), 333 the eCB signals measured by changes in evoked postsynaptic currents (ePSCs) have a 334 sampling interval of approximately 2 s, creating a temporal bottleneck. In this respect, our 335 eCB2.0 sensor can reveal eCB signals with considerably higher spatial and temporal 336 resolution, similar to recent studies using sensors for detecting other neurotransmitters^{70,71}. 337 Using cultured neurons, we found that spontaneous eCB transients are confined to an area 338 with a diameter of approximately 11 µm, smaller than previous estimates of eCB diffusion. 339 In the future, it will be interesting to determine whether these local transient signals 340 originate from single spines.

In summary, we show that our eCB2.0 sensor can be used in a variety of *in vitro* and 341 342 in vivo preparations in order to monitor eCB dynamics in real time. Given the complexity of 343 the nervous system, future directions for research based on the eCB sensor applications 344 may include the identity of cell types that release eCBs, the mechanisms and temporal 345 properties of eCB release, characteristics of eCB diffusion, the duration of eCB signals, the 346 nature of the cell types and subcellular elements targeted by eCBs and the effects on them. 347 Answering these fundamental questions will significantly enrich our understanding of the 348 mechanisms and functions of eCB signaling at the synapse and neural circuit levels. Lastly, 349 altered function of the eCB system has been associated with several neurological disorders, 350 including stress/anxiety, movement disorders, substance use disorders and epilepsy. In 351 this respect, our in vivo results show clear examples of how the eCB2.0 sensor could help to elucidate the fast eCB dynamics during both physiological and pathological processes. 352

The eCB2.0 sensor should be able to detect all CB1R agonists (**Extended Data Fig. 3**) including \triangle -9-tetrahydrocannabinol (\triangle -9-THC) in the brain and periphery following drug administration. This would also allow investigators to track the time course of \triangle -9-THC actions and the impact of cannabis drugs on eCB signaling. Thus, eCB sensors open a new era of endocannabinoid research aimed at understanding this system at unprecedented, physiologically-relevant spatial and temporal scales.

360 METHODS

361 Molecular biology

DNA fragments were amplified by PCR using primers (TSINGKE Biological Technology) 362 363 with 25-30-bp overlaps. Plasmids were constructed using restriction enzyme cloning or 364 Gibson Assembly, and all plasmid sequences were verified using Sanger sequencing. To 365 characterize eCB2.0 and eCBmut in HEK293T cells, the corresponding DNA constructs 366 were cloned into the pDisplay vector with an upstream IgK leader sequence. An IRES-367 mCherry-CAAX cassette was inserted downstream of the sensor gene for labeling the cell membrane and calibrating the sensor's fluorescence. To characterize eCB2.0 in neurons. 368 the eCB2.0 was cloned into a pAAV vector under control of a human synapsin (SYN1) 369 370 promoter (pAAV-hSyn), and PSD95-mScarlet and synaptophysin-mScarlet were cloned 371 into the pDest vector under the control of the CMV promoter. For the $G_{\beta\gamma}$ sensor assay, the 372 human CB1R was cloned into the pCI vector (Promega), and eCB2.0 and eCBmut were 373 cloned into the peGFP-C1 vector (Takara), replacing the eGFP open reading frame. For the Tango assay, the human CB1R, eCB2.0 and eCBmut were cloned into the pTango 374 vector. In addition, the viral vectors pAAV-hsyn-eCBmut and pAAV-hsyn-R^{ncp}-iGluSnFR 375 376 were generated and used in this study.

377

378 **AAV expression**

AAV2/9-hSyn-eCB2.0 (9.5x10¹³ viral genomes (vg)/mL), AAV2/9-hSyn-eCBmut (8.0x10¹³
vg/mL), AAV2/9-hSyn-R^{ncp}-iGluSniFR (6.2x10¹³ vg/mL, all packaged at Vigene
Biosciences, China), AAV8-hSyn-mCherry (#114472, Addgene) and AAV1-Syn-NESjRGECO1a-WPRE-SV40 (Penn Vector Core) were used to infect cultured neurons or were
injected *in vivo* into specific brain regions.

384

385 Cell culture

HEK293T cells were cultured at 37°C in air containing 5% CO2 in DMEM (Biological 386 Industries) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (Gibco) and penicillin (100 387 388 unit/mL)-streptomycin (0.1 mg/mL) (Biological Industries). For experiments, the HEK293T 389 cells were plated on 96-well plates or 12 mm glass coverslips in 24-well plates. At 60-70% confluency, the cells were transfected using polyethylenimine (PEI) with 300 ng DNA/well 390 (for 96-well plates) or 1 µg DNA/well (for 24-well plates) at a DNA:PEI ratio of 1:3; 4-6 h 391 392 after transfection, the culture medium was replaced with fresh medium. Imaging was performed 24-36 h after transfection. Rat cortical neurons were prepared from postnatal 393 394 day 0 (P0) Sprague-Dawley rat. In brief, the cerebral cortex was dissected, and cortical 395 neurons were dissociated by digestion in 0.25% Trypsin-EDTA (Biological Industries), and 396 then plated on poly-D-lysine-coated (Sigma-Aldrich) 12-mm glass coverslips in 24-well 397 plates. The neurons were cultured at 37°C, 5% CO₂ in Neurobasal Medium (Gibco) supplemented with 2% B-27 Supplement (Gibco), 1% GlutaMAX (Gibco), and penicillin 398 399 (100 unit/mL)-streptomycin (0.1 mg/mL) (Biological Industries). For transfection, cultured neurons were transfected at 7-9 day in vitro (DIV7-9) using calcium phosphate 400 401 transfection method and imaged 48 h after transfection. For viral infection, cultured 402 neurons were infected by AAVs expressing eCB2.0, eCBmut and/or Rncp-iGluSnFR at 403 DIV3-5 and imaged at DIV12-20. Where indicated, the neurons were loaded with 404 Calbryte-590 (AAT Bioquest) 1 h before imaging.

405

406 Animals

407 All experiment protocols were approved by the respective Laboratory Animal Care and Use 408 Committees of Peking University, the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, 409 the Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, and Stanford University, and all studies were performed 410 in accordance with the guidelines established by the US National Institutes of Health. 411 Postnatal day 0 (P0) Sprague-Dawley rats (Beijing Vital River Laboratory) of both sexes and P42-P150 C57BL/6J mice (Beijing Vital River Laboratory and The Jackson Laboratory) 412 of both sexes were used in this study. The mice were housed under a normal 12-h light/dark 413 414 cycle with food and water available ad libitum.

415

416 Confocal imaging of cultured cells

Before imaging, the culture medium was replaced with Tyrode's solution consisting of (in 417 418 mM): 150 NaCl, 4 KCl, 2 MgCl₂, 2 CaCl₂, 10 HEPES, and 10 glucose (pH 7.4). 0 mM 419 [Ca²⁺]_{ex} solution was modified from Tyrode's solution with 0 mM CaCl₂ and additional 2 mM 420 EGTA. HEK293T cells in 96-well plates were imaged using an Opera Phenix high-content 421 screening system (PerkinElmer, USA) equipped with a 20x/0.4 NA objective, a 40x/0.6 NA 422 objective, a 40x/1.15 NA water-immersion objective, a 488 nm laser and a 561 nm laser. 423 Green and red fluorescence were collected using a 525/50 nm emission filter and a 600/30 424 nm emission filter, respectively. Cells in 12 mm coverslips were imaged using a Ti-E A1 425 confocal microscopy (Nikon, Japan) equipped with a 10x/0.45 NA objective, a 20x/0.75 NA objective, a 40x/1.35 NA oil-immersion objective, a 488 nm laser and a 561 nm laser. Green 426 427 and red fluorescence were collected using a 525/50 nm emission filter and a 595/50 nm 428 emission filter, respectively. The following compounds were applied by replacing the 429 Tyrode's solution (for imaging in 96-well plates) or by either bath application or using a 430 custom-made perfusion system (for imaging cells on 12-mm coverslips): 2-AG (Tocris), 431 AEA (Cayman), AM251 (Tocris), LPA (Tocris), S1P (Tocris), ACh (Solarbio), DA (Sigma-432 Aldrich), GABA (Tocris), Glu (Sigma-Aldrich), Gly (Sigma-Aldrich), NE (Tocris), 5-HT 433 (Tocris), His (Tocris), Epi (Sigma-Aldrich), Ado (Tocris), Tyr (Sigma-Aldrich), WIN55212-2 (Cayman), DO34 (MedChemExpress), JZL184 (Cayman), and URB597 (Cayman). The 434 micropressure application of drugs was controlled by Pneumatic PicoPump PV800 (World 435 436 Precision Instruments). Cultured neurons were field stimulated using parallel platinum 437 electrodes positioned 1 cm apart; the electrodes were controlled by a Grass S88 stimulator 438 (Grass Instruments), and 1-ms pulses were applied at 80 V. All imaging experiments were 439 performed at room temperature (22-24°C).

440

441 BRET $G_{\beta\gamma}$ sensor assay

Plasmids expressing eCB2.0, eCBmut, or CB1R were co-transfected into HEK293T cells together with a single construct expressing human GNAOa, human GNB1 (fused to amino acids 156–239 of Venus), human GNG2 (fused to amino acids 2–155 of Venus), and NanoLuc fused to the amino terminal 112 amino acids of human Phosducin circularly permutated at amino acids 54/55 (Promega). The NanoLuc/Phosducin fusion portion also contains a kRAS membrane targeting sequence at the carboxy terminal end. Templates 448 for assembly were derived from human whole-brain cDNA (Takara) for all cDNAs, except for the hGNB1 and hGNG2 Venus fusions which were a generous gift from Dr. Nevin 449 Lambert (Augusta University). Approximately 24 hours after transfection, the cells were 450 451 harvested with 10 mM EDTA in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.2), pelleted, and then resuspended in Dulbecco's modified PBS (Life Technologies) without Ca²⁺ or Mg²⁺. 452 453 Furimazine (Promega) was then added at a 1/100 dilution to 100 µl of cell suspension in a black 96-well plate, and BRET was measured using a PHERAstar FS plate reader 454 455 (Berthold) equipped with a Venus BRET cube. The acceptor (Venus) and donor (NanoLuc) signals were measured at 535 nm and 475 nm, respectively, and net BRET was calculated 456 by subtracting the acceptor/donor ratio of a donor-only sample from the acceptor/donor 457 458 ratio of each sample. Readings were taken before and 3-4 min after application of 20 µM 459 2-AG (Tocris) to activate CB1R or the eCB sensor.

460

461 Tango assay

462 Plasmids expressing eCB2.0, eCBmut, or CB1R were transfected into a reporter cell line 463 expressing a β-arrestin2-TEV fusion gene and a tTA-dependent luciferase reporter gene. 464 24 h after transfection, cells in 6 well plates were collected after trypsin digestion and plated 465 in 96 well plates. AEA was applied at final concentrations ranging from 0.01 nM to 10 μ M. 466 12 h after luciferase expression, Bright-Glo (Fluc Luciferase Assay System, Promega) was 467 added to a final concentration of 5 μ M, and luminescence was measured using the VICTOR 468 X5 multi-label plate reader (PerkinElmer).

469

470 Photometry recording in the dorsolateral striatum in acute mouse brain slices

471 Adult (>10 weeks of age) male C57BL/6J mice were anesthetized with isoflurane, AAV 472 vectors were injected (300 nl at a rate of 50 nl/min) into the dorsolateral striatum at the 473 following coordinates: A/P: +0.75 mm relative to Bregma; M/L: ±2.5 mm relative to Bregma; 474 and D/V: -3.5 mm). After virus injection, the mice received an injection of ketoprofen (5 mg/kg, s.c.), and postoperative care was provided daily until the mice regained their 475 476 preoperative weight. After a minimum of 4 weeks following AAV injection, the mice were 477 deeply anesthetized with isoflurane, decapitated, and the brains were removed and placed in ice-cold cutting solution containing (in mM): 194 sucrose, 30 NaCl, 4.5 KCl, 26 NaHCO₃, 478 1.2 NaH₂PO₄, 10 D-glucose, and 1 MgCl₂ saturated with 5% CO₂/95% O₂. Coronal brain 479 480 slices (250-µm thickness) were prepared and then incubated at 32°C for 60 min in artificial 481 cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) containing (in mM): 124 NaCl, 4.5 KCl, 26 NaHCO₃, 1.2 482 NaH₂PO₄, 10 D-glucose, 1 MgCl₂, and 2 CaCl₂. After incubation at 32°C, the slices were 483 kept at room temperature until use. Photometry recordings were acquired using an 484 Olympus BX41 upright epifluorescence microscope equipped with a 40x/0.8 NA water-485 emersion objective and a FITC filter set. Slices were superfused at 2 ml/min with ACSF (29-31°C). A twisted bipolar polyimide-coated stainless-steel stimulating electrode (~200 486 um tip separation) was placed in the DLS just medial to the corpus callosum and slightly 487 488 below the tissue surface in a region with visible eCB2.0 or eCBmut fluorescence. The 489 sensors were excited using either a 470-nm light-emitting diode (LED) (ThorLabs). Photons 490 passing through a 180-µm² aperture positioned just lateral to the stimulating electrode were 491 directed to a model D-104 photomultiplier tube (PMT) (Photon Technology International).

The PMT output was amplified (gain: 0.1 μ A/V; time constant: 5 ms), filtered at 50 Hz, and digitized at 250 Hz using a Digidata 1550B and Clampex software (Molecular Devices). For each photometry experiment, GRAB_{eCB} was measured as discrete trials repeated every 3 minutes. For each trial, the light exposure duration was 35–45 seconds in order to minimize GRAB_{eCB} photobleaching while capturing the peak response and the majority of the decay phase. To evoke an eCB transient, a train of 200–500- μ s electrical pulses (1.0– 1.5 mA) was delivered 5 s after initiating GRAB_{eCB} excitation.

499

500 **2-photon imaging in the hippocampus in acute mouse brain slices**

501 Adult (6-8 weeks of age) C57BL/6J mice of both sexes were anesthetized with an 502 intraperitoneal injection of 2.2.2-tribromoethanol (Avertin, 500 mg/kg body weight, Sigma-503 Aldrich), and AAV vectors were injected (400 nl at a rate of 46 nl/min) into the hippocampal 504 CA1 region using the following coordinates: A/P: -1.8 mm relative to Bregma; M/L: ±1.0 505 mm relative to Bregma; and D/V: -1.2 mm. After at least 4 weeks following AAV injection, 506 the mice were deeply anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of 2,2,2tribromoethanol, decapitated, and the brains were removed and placed in ice-cold cutting 507 508 solution containing (in mM): 110 choline-Cl, 2.5 KCl, 0.5 CaCl₂, 7 MgCl₂, 1 NaH₂PO₄, 1.3 509 Na ascorbate, 0.6 Na pyruvate, 25 NaHCO₃, and 25 glucose saturated with 5% CO₂/95% 510 O₂. Coronal brain slices (300-µm thickness) were prepared and incubated at 34°C for 511 approximately 40 min in modified ACSF containing (in mM): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 2 CaCl₂, 512 1.3 MgCl₂, 1 NaH₂PO₄, 1.3 Na ascorbate, 0.6 Na pyruvate, 25 NaHCO₃, and 25 glucose 513 saturated with 5% CO₂/95% O₂. Two-photon imaging were performed using an 514 FV1000MPE 2-photon microscope (Olympus) equipped with a 25x/1.05 NA water-515 immersion objective and a mode-locked Mai Tai Ti:Sapphire laser (Spectra-Physics). The 516 slices were superfused with modified ACSF (32–34°C) at a rate of 4 ml/min. A 920-nm laser 517 was used to excite the eCB2.0 sensor, and fluorescence was collected using a 495-540-518 nm filter. For electrical stimulation, a bipolar electrode (cat. number WE30031.0A3, 519 MicroProbes for Life Science) was positioned near the stratum radiatum layer in the CA1 520 region using fluorescence guidance. Fluorescence imaging and electrical stimulation were 521 synchronized using an Arduino board with custom-written software. All images collected 522 during electrical stimulation were recorded at a frame rate of 2.8 fps with a frame size of 256×192 pixels. The stimulation voltage was 4-6 V, and the pulse duration was 1 ms. 523 524 Drugs were applied to the imaging chamber by perfusion at a flow rate at 4 ml/min.

525

526 Fiber photometry recording of eCB signals in the basolateral amygdala

527 Adult (10-12 weeks of age) C57BL/6J mice of both sexes anesthetized, and 300 nl of 528 either a 10:1 mixture of AAV-hSyn-eCB2.0 and AAV-hSyn-mCherry or a 10:1 mixture of 529 AAV-hSyn-eCBmut and AAV-hSyn-mCherry was injected using a glass pipette and a Picospritzer III microinjection system (Parker Hannifin) into the right basolateral amygdala 530 using the following coordinates: A/P: -1.78 mm relative to Bregma; M/L -3.30 mm relative 531 532 to Bregma; and D/V: -4.53 mm. After injection, a 200-µm diameter, 0.37 NA fiber (Inper) 533 was implanted at the same location and secured using resin cement (3M). A head bar was 534 also mounted to the skull using resin cement. At least 14 days after surgery, photometry recording was performed using a commercial photometry system (Neurophotometrics). A 535

536 patch cord (0.37 NA, Doric Lenses) was attached to the photometry system and to the fiber 537 secured in the mouse brain. A 470-nm LED was used to excite the GRAB_{eCB} sensors, and 538 a 560-nm LED was used to excite mCherry. The average power level of the LED (measured 539 at the output end of the patch cord) was 160 μ W and 25 μ W for the GRAB_{eCB} sensors and 540 mCherry, respectively. The recording frequency was 10 Hz, and the photometry data were 541 acquired using Bonsai 2.3.1 software.

For the foot shock experiments, the mice were allowed to move freely in a Habitest 542 543 shock box (Coulbourn Instruments) inside a lighted soundproof behavior box. The FreezeFrame software program was used to apply triggers to the shock generator 544 (Coulbourn Instruments). Five 2-sec pulses of electricity at an intensity of 0.7 mA were 545 546 delivered to the shock box, with an interval of 90–120 s between trials. After photometry 547 recording, the animals were deeply anesthetized and perfused with PBS followed by 4% 548 paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS. The brains were removed, fixed in 4% PFA overnight, 549 and then dehydrated with 30% sucrose in PBS for 24 h. Brain slices were cut using a Leica 550 SM2010R microtome (Leica Biosystems). Floating brain slices were blocked at room 551 temperature for 2 h with a blocking solution containing 5% (w/v) BSA and 0.1% Triton X-552 100 in PBS, and then incubated at 4°C for 24 h in PBS containing 3% BSA, 0.1% Triton X-553 100, and the following primary antibodies: chicken anti-GFP (1:1000, Aves, #GFP-1020) and rabbit anti-RFP (1:500, Rockland, #600-401-379). The next day, the slices were rinsed 554 555 3 times in PBS and incubated in PBS with DAPI (5 µg/ml, Invitrogen, #D1306) and the 556 following secondary antibodies at 4°C for 24 h: Alexa Fluor 488 donkey anti-chicken (1:250, Jackson ImmunoResearch, #703-545-155) and Alexa Fluor 568 donkey anti-rabbit (1:250, 557 558 Invitrogen, #A10042). Confocal images were captured using an LSM780 confocal 559 microscope (Zeiss).

560

561 2-photon in vivo imaging

562 Adult (100-150 days of age) C57BL/6J mice of both sexes were used for these experiments. The mice were anesthetized, and a mixture of AAV1-Syn-NES-iRGECO1a-563 WPRE-SV40 and either AAV9-hSyn-eCB2.0 or AAV9-hSyn-eCBmut (300-400 nl each, full 564 565 titer) was injected into the right hippocampal CA1 region at the following coordinates using a Hamilton syringe: A/P: 2.3 mm relative to Bregma; M/L: 1.5 mm relative to Bregma; and 566 D/V: -1.35 mm. After virus injection, a stainless-steel cannula with an attached coverglass 567 was implanted over the hippocampus as described previously^{72,73}, and a stainless-steel 568 569 head bar was attached. A chronic bipolar wire electrode (tungsten, 0.002", 0.5-mm tip 570 separation, A-M Systems) was implanted into the left ventral hippocampus at the following 571 coordinates as previously described⁷⁴: A/P: 3.2 mm relative to Bregma; M/L: 2.7 mm 572 relative to Bregma; and D/V: -4.0 mm. Head-fixed mice running on a linear treadmill with a 2-m-long cue-less belt were imaged using a resonant scanning 2-photon microscope 573 (Neurolabware) equipped with a pulsed IR laser tunned to 1000 nm (Mai Tai, Spectra-574 Physics), GaAsP PMT detectors (H11706P-40, Hamamatsu), and a 16x/0.8 NA water-575 576 immersion objective (Nikon). The 2-photon image acquisition and treadmill speed were 577 controlled and monitored using a Scanbox (Neurolabware). Bipolar electrodes were recorded using a model 1700 differential amplifier (A-M Systems). Seizures were elicited 578 by applying an electric stimulation above the seizure threshold by 150 µA of current 579

580 delivered in 1-ms biphasic pulses at 60 Hz for 1 s, using a model 2100 constant-current 581 stimulator (A-M Systems). Following the in vivo recordings, the mice were anesthetized with isoflurane followed by an intraperitoneal injection of a mixture of ketamine (100 mg/kg 582 583 body weight) and xylazine (10 mg/kg body weight) in saline. The mice were transcardially 584 perfused with 0.9% NaCl for 1 min followed by 4% PFA and 0.2% picric acid in 0.1 M 585 phosphate buffer. The brains were removed, post-fixed in the same fixative solution for 24 h at 4°C, then sliced on a VTS1200 vibratome (Leica Biosystems). The sections were then 586 587 washed and mounted using VECTASHIELD (Vector Laboratories). Confocal images were acquired using an LSM710 imaging system equipped with a 20x/0.8 NA objective (Zeiss). 588

589

590 Data processing

591 Confocal imaging

592 Data for 96-well plate imaging were collected and analyzed using Harmony high-content 593 imaging and analysis software (PerkinElmer). In brief, membrane regions were selected 594 as regions of interest (ROIs) and the green fluorescence channel (i.e., the sensor) was 595 normalized to the red fluorescence channel corresponding to mCherry-CAAX (G/R). $\Delta F/F_0$ 596 was then calculated using the formula [(G/Rdrug - G/Rbaseline)/(G/Rbaseline)]. For 12-mm 597 coverslip imaging, data were collected using the NIS-Element software (Nikon) and analyzed using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health). $\Delta F/F_0$ was calculated as 598 599 using the formula $[(F_t - F_0)/F_0]$, with F_0 representing baseline fluorescence. Data were 600 plotted using OriginPro 2020 (OriginLab).

601

602 Slice photometry and 2-photon imaging

603 For slice photometry, GRAB_{eCB} signals were calculated as $\Delta F/F_0$ by averaging the PMT voltage (V) for a period of 1 s just prior to electrical stimulation (F_0) and then calculating 604 605 $[V/(F_0-1)]$ for each digitized data sample. The decay phase was fitted with a single 606 exponential, accounting for a sloping baseline. Rise $t_{1/2}$ was calculated in Prism v. 607 8.3(GraphPad) by fitting the rising phase of the signal with an asymmetrical logistics curve. 608 Photometry sweeps were exported to Microsoft Excel 2016 to calculate normalized $\Delta F/F_0$ 609 traces and peak Δ F/F₀ values. For 2-photon imaging of slices, data were collected using FV10-ASW software (Olympus) and analyzed using ImageJ. ΔF/F₀ was calculated using 610 the formula $[(F_t - F_0)/F_0]$, with F_0 representing baseline fluorescence. Data were plotted 611 612 using OriginPro 2020.

613

614 *Fiber photometry in mice during foot shock*

The fiber photometry data were analyzed off-line using MatLab software (MathWorks) andplotted using OriginPro 2020.

617

618 2-photon imaging in mice during locomotion and seizure

619 Imaging data were processed and analyzed using Python scripts. To analyze single-cell 620 responses, movies were initially motion-corrected using rigid translation, followed by non-621 rigid correction (*HiddenMarkov2D*) using the sima package⁷⁵. Binary ROIs were selected 622 using a semi-automated approach. For the initial automated detection, movies were

623 divided into segments consisting of 100 frames each; the average intensity projection of

624 each segment was then computed, and the resulting resampled movie was used for detection. In sessions with electric stimulation, only the baseline period (i.e., before 625 stimulation) was used for segmentation. The PlaneCA1PC method of sima was run on the 626 627 inverted resampled movie, which resulted in detection of the hollow cell nuclei. These ROIs 628 were then filtered based on size, and binary dilation was performed to include the 629 cytoplasm around the nuclei. Next, the ROIs were detected in the non-inverted resampled 630 movie and filtered based on size; those samples that did not overlap with existing ROIs 631 were added to the set. ROIs outside the stratum pyramidale layer were excluded. The 632 fluorescence intensity traces were then extracted for each ROI by averaging the included 633 pixel intensities within each frame. For analyzing the run responses, only sessions with no 634 electric stimuli were included, and signals were pulled from the motion-corrected movies. 635 These raw traces were then processed following standard steps for obtaining $\Delta F/F_0$ traces, 636 with a modified approach for determining the time-dependent baseline. A 3rd-degree 637 polynomial was fit to the trace after applying temporal smoothing, removing peaks 638 (detected using continuous wavelet transform with scipy.signal), eliminating periods of 639 running, and ignoring the beginning and end of the recording. The calculated polynomial 640 was then used as a baseline. Z-scored traces were obtained after determining the standard 641 deviation (SD) of each cell's baseline and excluding events exceeding 2 SDs in two 642 iterations.

643 To analyze spreading activity, only sessions with an electric stimulus that triggered an 644 electrographic seizure and a spreading wave were included. The segmentation was 645 performed based on the motion-corrected baseline segments of the recordings, and the 646 signals were pulled from non-motion-corrected movies, as image-based motion correction 647 was not feasible during seizures. $\Delta F/F_0$ traces were obtained using a constant baseline 648 determined by averaging the pre-stimulus segments of the traces. To analyze changes in 649 average fluorescence intensity, a single large ROI was manually drawn to include the cell 650 bodies within the pyramidal layer, and $\Delta F/F_0$ traces were obtained and processed as 651 described above. Event-triggered averages were calculated after automatically detecting 652 the frames with running onsets and stops using criteria that were fixed across all sessions. 653 The average was computed in two steps; first, the events were averaged by cell, and then the cells were averaged by sensor (e.g., eCB2.0 or eCBmut). Decay time constants were 654 655 computed as the parameter of a 2nd-degree polynomial fit after a log transform on the 656 trace following the peak of the stop-triggered average trace. Rise times were determined 657 between the frame in which the start-triggered average signal first reached 90% of the range between baseline and peak and the last frame before the signal dropped below 10% 658 659 of the range. To determine the speed and direction of the spreading waves, the peak time 660 of the wave was determined in each session by inspecting the average $\Delta F/F_0$ trace 661 (including all cells). Next, the relative peak location (Δt) of the $\Delta F/F_0$ trace of each cell in the trace including 200 frames (12.8 s) before and after the wave peak was determined. 662 Finally, two linear (i.e., 1D) fits were determined using the x and y centroid coordinates of 663 664 each ROI ($\Delta t \sim x$, $\Delta t \sim y$). The 2D speed was then computed from the slopes of the two 1D 665 fits. The direction was determined by computing the unity vector from the starting point to the end point of the fits between 3 s before and after the wave peak. The average speed 666 667 was obtained by averaging the speed of individual sessions, and the average direction was obtained from the sum of the unity vectors of individual sessions. Data were plotted usingPython and OriginPro 2020.

670

671 Statistical analysis

672 All summary data are presented as the mean \pm s.e.m. Group data were analyzed using the 673 Student's *t* test or one-way ANOVA. **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01, ****p* < 0.001, and n.s., not 674 significant (*p* > 0.05).

675

676 Data and software availability

677 Plasmids for expressing eCB2.0 and eCBmut used in this study were deposited at 678 Addgene (https://www.addgene.org/Yulong_Li/).

679 680

681 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission 682 (Z181100001318002, Z181100001518004), the National Natural Science Foundation of 683 684 China (31925017), the NIH BRAIN Initiative (NS103558), the Shenzhen-Hong Kong 685 Institute of Brain Science (NYKFKT2019013), and the Peking-Tsinghua Center for Life Sciences and the State Key Laboratory of Membrane Biology at Peking University School 686 687 of Life Sciences to Y.L.; the NIAAA (ZIA AA000416) to D.M.L; the NIH BRAIN Initiative (NS103558) to J.D.; the NIH (R01MH101214 and R01NS104944) to B.L.; the American 688 689 Epilepsy Society (postdoctoral fellowship) and the NIH (K99NS117795) to B.D.; the Canadian Institutes for Health Research (postdoctoral fellowship) to J.S.F.; and the NIH to 690 691 I.S. (NS99457). We thank Li lab members and alumni for helpful discussions. We thank Yi 692 Rao for use of the 2-photon microscope, Xiaoguang Lei at PKU-CLS and the National 693 Center for Protein Sciences at Peking University for support and assistance with the Opera 694 Phenix high-content screening system.

695

696 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Y.L. conceived the project. A.D., K.H., H.L.P., R.C., and J.D. performed the experiments 697 related to developing, optimizing, and characterizing the sensors in cultured HEK293T cells 698 699 and neurons. L.J.D. performed the surgery and photometry recording experiments related 700 to the validation of the sensor in DLS brain slices under the supervision of D.M.L. A.D. 701 performed the surgery and 2-photon imaging in the hippocampal brain slices. E.A. 702 performed the surgery and 2-photon imaging in the striatal brain slices under the 703 supervision of J.D. W. G. performed fiber photometry recordings in freely moving mice 704 during foot shock under the supervision of B.L. B.D. and J.S.F. performed the in vivo 2-705 photon imaging in the hippocampus in mice during running and seizure under the supervision of I.S. All authors contributed to the data interpretation and analysis. A.D. and 706 707 Y.L. wrote the manuscript with input from other authors.

708

709 COMPETING FINANCIAL INTERESTS

710 Y. L. has filed patent applications, the value of which might be affected by this publication.

712 **REFERENCES**

- 713 1 Zuardi, A. W. History of cannabis as a medicine: a review. *Braz J Psychiatry* 28, 153-157,
 714 doi:10.1590/s1516-44462006000200015 (2006).
- Piomelli, D. The molecular logic of endocannabinoid signalling. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 4, 873884, doi:10.1038/nrn1247 (2003).
- Wilson, R. I. & Nicoll, R. A. Endocannabinoid signaling in the brain. *Science* 296, 678-682,
 doi:10.1126/science.1063545 (2002).
- Kano, M., Ohno-Shosaku, T., Hashimotodani, Y., Uchigashima, M. & Watanabe, M.
 Endocannabinoid-mediated control of synaptic transmission. *Physiological reviews* 89, 309-380,
 doi:10.1152/physrev.00019.2008 (2009).
- Febert-Chatelain, E. *et al.* A cannabinoid link between mitochondria and memory. *Nature*539, 555-559, doi:10.1038/nature20127 (2016).
- Benard, G. *et al.* Mitochondrial CB(1) receptors regulate neuronal energy metabolism. *Nat Neurosci* 15, 558-564, doi:10.1038/nn.3053 (2012).
- 726 7 Jimenez-Blasco, D. *et al.* Glucose metabolism links astroglial mitochondria to cannabinoid
 727 effects. *Nature* 583, 603-608, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2470-y (2020).
- 728 8 Stella, N. Cannabinoid signaling in glial cells. *Glia* 48, 267-277, doi:10.1002/glia.20084
 729 (2004).
- Navarrete, M., Diez, A. & Araque, A. Astrocytes in endocannabinoid signalling. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 369, 20130599, doi:10.1098/rstb.2013.0599 (2014).
- 10 Chevaleyre, V., Takahashi, K. A. & Castillo, P. E. Endocannabinoid-mediated synaptic
 plasticity in the CNS. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 29, 37-76,
 doi:10.1146/annurev.neuro.29.051605.112834 (2006).
- 735 11 Oddi, S., Scipioni, L. & Maccarrone, M. Endocannabinoid system and adult neurogenesis:
- 736 a focused review. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* **50**, 25-32, doi:10.1016/j.coph.2019.11.002 (2020).
- 737 12 Moreira, F. A. & Lutz, B. The endocannabinoid system: emotion, learning and addiction.
 738 *Addict Biol* 13, 196-212, doi:10.1111/j.1369-1600.2008.00104.x (2008).
- Guindon, J. & Hohmann, A. G. The endocannabinoid system and pain. *CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets* 8, 403-421 (2009).
- Kesner, A. J. & Lovinger, D. M. Cannabinoids, Endocannabinoids and Sleep. *Frontiers in molecular neuroscience* 13, 125, doi:10.3389/fnmol.2020.00125 (2020).
- 743 15 Silvestri, C. & Di Marzo, V. The endocannabinoid system in energy homeostasis and the
 r44 etiopathology of metabolic disorders. *Cell Metab* 17, 475-490, doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2013.03.001
 r45 (2013).
- 746 16 Katona, I. & Freund, T. F. Endocannabinoid signaling as a synaptic circuit breaker in
 747 neurological disease. *Nat Med* 14, 923-930, doi:10.1038/nm.f.1869 (2008).
- 748 17 Fernandez-Espejo, E., Viveros, M. P., Nunez, L., Ellenbroek, B. A. & Rodriguez de
 749 Fonseca, F. Role of cannabis and endocannabinoids in the genesis of schizophrenia.
 750 *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 206, 531-549, doi:10.1007/s00213-009-1612-6 (2009).
- Fraguas-Sanchez, A. I., Martin-Sabroso, C. & Torres-Suarez, A. I. Insights into the effects
 of the endocannabinoid system in cancer: a review. *Br J Pharmacol* **175**, 2566-2580,
 doi:10.1111/bph.14331 (2018).
- Patel, S., Hill, M. N., Cheer, J. F., Wotjak, C. T. & Holmes, A. The endocannabinoid system
 as a target for novel anxiolytic drugs. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 76, 56-66,

756 doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.12.033 (2017).

Z0 Ligresti, A., Petrosino, S. & Di Marzo, V. From endocannabinoid profiling to
rendocannabinoid therapeutics'. *Curr Opin Chem Biol* 13, 321-331,
doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2009.04.615 (2009).

21 Sabatini, B. L. & Regehr, W. G. Timing of synaptic transmission. *Annu Rev Physiol* 61,
521-542, doi:10.1146/annurev.physiol.61.1.521 (1999).

Zoerner, A. A. *et al.* Quantification of endocannabinoids in biological systems by
chromatography and mass spectrometry: a comprehensive review from an analytical and
biological perspective. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1811, 706-723, doi:10.1016/j.bbalip.2011.08.004
(2011).

766 23 Marchioni, C. *et al.* Recent advances in LC-MS/MS methods to determine
767 endocannabinoids in biological samples: Application in neurodegenerative diseases. *Anal Chim*768 *Acta* 1044, 12-28, doi:10.1016/j.aca.2018.06.016 (2018).

Wilson, R. I. & Nicoll, R. A. Endogenous cannabinoids mediate retrograde signalling at
hippocampal synapses. *Nature* 410, 588-592, doi:10.1038/35069076 (2001).

- Kreitzer, A. C. & Regehr, W. G. Retrograde inhibition of presynaptic calcium influx by
 endogenous cannabinoids at excitatory synapses onto Purkinje cells. *Neuron* 29, 717-727
 (2001).
- Maejima, T., Hashimoto, K., Yoshida, T., Aiba, A. & Kano, M. Presynaptic inhibition caused
 by retrograde signal from metabotropic glutamate to cannabinoid receptors. *Neuron* **31**, 463475 (2001).
- 27 Ohno-Shosaku, T., Maejima, T. & Kano, M. Endogenous cannabinoids mediate retrograde
 signals from depolarized postsynaptic neurons to presynaptic terminals. *Neuron* 29, 729-738
 (2001).

Wiskerke, J. *et al.* Characterization of the effects of reuptake and hydrolysis inhibition on
interstitial endocannabinoid levels in the brain: an in vivo microdialysis study. *ACS Chem Neurosci* **3**, 407-417, doi:10.1021/cn300036b (2012).

Walker, J. M., Huang, S. M., Strangman, N. M., Tsou, K. & Sanudo-Pena, M. C. Pain
modulation by release of the endogenous cannabinoid anandamide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*96, 12198-12203, doi:10.1073/pnas.96.21.12198 (1999).

30 Sun, F. *et al.* A Genetically Encoded Fluorescent Sensor Enables Rapid and Specific
Detection of Dopamine in Flies, Fish, and Mice. *Cell* **174**, 481-496 e419,
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.06.042 (2018).

789 31 Patriarchi, T. *et al.* Ultrafast neuronal imaging of dopamine dynamics with designed
790 genetically encoded sensors. *Science* **360**, doi:10.1126/science.aat4422 (2018).

Jing, M. *et al.* A genetically encoded fluorescent acetylcholine indicator for in vitro and in
vivo studies. *Nat Biotechnol* **36**, 726-737, doi:10.1038/nbt.4184 (2018).

- 793 33 Feng, J. *et al.* A Genetically Encoded Fluorescent Sensor for Rapid and Specific In Vivo
 794 Detection of Norepinephrine. *Neuron* **102**, 745-761 e748, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2019.02.037
 795 (2019).
- 796 34 Peng, W. *et al.* Regulation of sleep homeostasis mediator adenosine by basal forebrain
 797 glutamatergic neurons. *Science* 369, doi:10.1126/science.abb0556 (2020).

798 35 Patriarchi, T. *et al.* An expanded palette of dopamine sensors for multiplex imaging in vivo.

799 *Nat Methods*, doi:10.1038/s41592-020-0936-3 (2020).

36 Jing, M. *et al.* An optimized acetylcholine sensor for monitoring in vivo cholinergic activity.
Nature Methods, doi:10.1038/s41592-020-0953-2 (2020).

Sun, F. *et al.* New and improved GRAB fluorescent sensors for monitoring dopaminergic
activity in vivo. 2020.2003.2028.013722, doi:10.1101/2020.03.28.013722 %J
bioRxiv (2020).

805 38 Wan, J. *et al.* A genetically encoded GRAB sensor for measuring serotonin dynamics
806 in vivo. 2020.2002.2024.962282, doi:10.1101/2020.02.24.962282 %J bioRxiv
807 (2020).

808 39 Howlett, A. C. *et al.* International Union of Pharmacology. XXVII. Classification of 809 cannabinoid receptors. *Pharmacol Rev* **54**, 161-202, doi:10.1124/pr.54.2.161 (2002).

40 Hua, T. *et al.* Crystal structures of agonist-bound human cannabinoid receptor CB1. *Nature*547, 468-471, doi:10.1038/nature23272 (2017).

41 Hua, T. *et al.* Crystal Structure of the Human Cannabinoid Receptor CB1. *Cell* **167**, 750762 e714, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.10.004 (2016).

Krishna Kumar, K. *et al.* Structure of a Signaling Cannabinoid Receptor 1-G Protein
Complex. *Cell* **176**, 448-458 e412, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.11.040 (2019).

43 Li, X. *et al.* Crystal Structure of the Human Cannabinoid Receptor CB2. *Cell* **176**, 459-467
e413, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.12.011 (2019).

818 44 Shao, Z. *et al.* Structure of an allosteric modulator bound to the CB1 cannabinoid receptor.
819 *Nat Chem Biol* **15**, 1199-1205, doi:10.1038/s41589-019-0387-2 (2019).

45 Shao, Z. *et al.* High-resolution crystal structure of the human CB1 cannabinoid receptor.
Nature, doi:10.1038/nature20613 (2016).

46 Masuho, I. *et al.* Distinct profiles of functional discrimination among G proteins determine
the actions of G protein-coupled receptors. *Sci Signal* 8, ra123, doi:10.1126/scisignal.aab4068
(2015).

47 Hollins, B., Kuravi, S., Digby, G. J. & Lambert, N. A. The c-terminus of GRK3 indicates
rapid dissociation of G protein heterotrimers. *Cell Signal* 21, 1015-1021,
doi:10.1016/j.cellsig.2009.02.017 (2009).

48 Kroeze, W. K. *et al.* PRESTO-Tango as an open-source resource for interrogation of the druggable human GPCRome. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **22**, 362-369, doi:10.1038/nsmb.3014 (2015).

Kim, S. H., Won, S. J., Mao, X. O., Jin, K. & Greenberg, D. A. Molecular mechanisms of
cannabinoid protection from neuronal excitotoxicity. *Mol Pharmacol* 69, 691-696,
doi:10.1124/mol.105.016428 (2006).

833 50 Wu, J. *et al.* Genetically Encoded Glutamate Indicators with Altered Color and Topology.
834 ACS Chem Biol 13, 1832-1837, doi:10.1021/acschembio.7b01085 (2018).

Alger, B. E. Retrograde signaling in the regulation of synaptic transmission: focus on
endocannabinoids. *Prog Neurobiol* 68, 247-286, doi:10.1016/s0301-0082(02)00080-1 (2002).

52 Ogasawara, D. et al. Rapid and profound rewiring of brain lipid signaling networks by acute 837 Proc 838 diacylglycerol lipase inhibition. Natl Acad Sci U S Α 113, 26-33, 839 doi:10.1073/pnas.1522364112 (2016).

S3 Long, J. Z. *et al.* Selective blockade of 2-arachidonoylglycerol hydrolysis produces
cannabinoid behavioral effects. *Nat Chem Biol* 5, 37-44, doi:10.1038/nchembio.129 (2009).

842 54 Mor, M. *et al.* Cyclohexylcarbamic acid 3'- or 4'-substituted biphenyl-3-yl esters as fatty 843 acid amide hydrolase inhibitors: synthesis, guantitative structure-activity relationships, and 844 molecular modeling studies. *J Med Chem* **47**, 4998-5008, doi:10.1021/jm031140x (2004).

845 55 Brenowitz, S. D. & Regehr, W. G. Associative short-term synaptic plasticity mediated by 846 endocannabinoids. *Neuron* **45**, 419-431, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2004.12.045 (2005).

Soler-Llavina, G. J. & Sabatini, B. L. Synapse-specific plasticity and compartmentalized
signaling in cerebellar stellate cells. *Nat Neurosci* 9, 798-806, doi:10.1038/nn1698 (2006).

849 57 Lerner, T. N. & Kreitzer, A. C. RGS4 is required for dopaminergic control of striatal LTD and
850 susceptibility to parkinsonian motor deficits. *Neuron* **73**, 347-359,
851 doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.11.015 (2012).

S8 Gerdeman, G. L., Ronesi, J. & Lovinger, D. M. Postsynaptic endocannabinoid release is
critical to long-term depression in the striatum. *Nat Neurosci* 5, 446-451, doi:10.1038/nn832
(2002).

Kreitzer, A. C. & Malenka, R. C. Endocannabinoid-mediated rescue of striatal LTD and
motor deficits in Parkinson's disease models. *Nature* 445, 643-647, doi:10.1038/nature05506
(2007).

858 60 Yasuda, H., Huang, Y. & Tsumoto, T. Regulation of excitability and plasticity by
859 endocannabinoids and PKA in developing hippocampus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**, 3106860 3111, doi:10.1073/pnas.0708349105 (2008).

861 61 Edwards, D. A., Zhang, L. & Alger, B. E. Metaplastic control of the endocannabinoid system
862 at inhibitory synapses in hippocampus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**, 8142-8147,
863 doi:10.1073/pnas.0803558105 (2008).

Li, B. Central amygdala cells for learning and expressing aversive emotional memories. *Curr Opin Behav Sci* 26, 40-45, doi:10.1016/j.cobeha.2018.09.012 (2019).

Katona, I. *et al.* Distribution of CB1 cannabinoid receptors in the amygdala and their role
in the control of GABAergic transmission. *J Neurosci* 21, 9506-9518 (2001).

868 64 Morena, M., Patel, S., Bains, J. S. & Hill, M. N. Neurobiological Interactions Between
869 Stress and the Endocannabinoid System. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 41, 80-102,
870 doi:10.1038/npp.2015.166 (2016).

65 Gunduz-Cinar, O., Hill, M. N., McEwen, B. S. & Holmes, A. Amygdala FAAH and
anandamide: mediating protection and recovery from stress. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 34, 637644, doi:10.1016/j.tips.2013.08.008 (2013).

66 Dana, H. *et al.* Sensitive red protein calcium indicators for imaging neural activity. *Elife* 5,
doi:10.7554/eLife.12727 (2016).

876 67 Soltesz, I. *et al.* Weeding out bad waves: towards selective cannabinoid circuit control in
877 epilepsy. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 16, 264-277, doi:10.1038/nrn3937 (2015).

68 Farrell, J. S. *et al.* In vivo assessment of mechanisms underlying the neurovascular basis
of postictal amnesia. *Sci Rep* 10, 14992, doi:10.1038/s41598-020-71935-6 (2020).

Heinbockel, T. *et al.* Endocannabinoid signaling dynamics probed with optical tools. *J Neurosci* 25, 9449-9459, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2078-05.2005 (2005).

70 Ju, N. *et al.* Spatiotemporal functional organization of excitatory synaptic inputs onto
macaque V1 neurons. *Nat Commun* **11**, 697, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-14501-y (2020).

884 71 Sethuramanujam, S. *et al.* Rapid 'multi-directed' cholinergic transmission at central
885 synapses. 2020.2004.2018.048330, doi:10.1101/2020.04.18.048330 %J bioRxiv (2020).

886 72 Kaifosh, P., Lovett-Barron, M., Turi, G. F., Reardon, T. R. & Losonczy, A. Septo-887 hippocampal GABAergic signaling across multiple modalities in awake mice. *Nat Neurosci* **16**, 888 1182-1184, doi:10.1038/nn.3482 (2013).

889 73 Lovett-Barron, M. *et al.* Dendritic inhibition in the hippocampus supports fear learning.
890 *Science* 343, 857-863, doi:10.1126/science.1247485 (2014).

891 74 Farrell, J. S. *et al.* Postictal behavioural impairments are due to a severe prolonged 892 hypoperfusion/hypoxia event that is COX-2 dependent. *Elife* **5**, doi:10.7554/eLife.19352 (2016).

893 75 Kaifosh, P., Zaremba, J. D., Danielson, N. B. & Losonczy, A. SIMA: Python software for

894 analysis of dynamic fluorescence imaging data. *Front Neuroinform* **8**, 80, 895 doi:10.3389/fninf.2014.00080 (2014).



Fig. 1 | Development, optimization, and characterization of GRAB_{eCB} sensors in HEK293T cells



Fig. 2 | Characterization of $\mathsf{GRAB}_{\mathsf{eCB}}$ sensors in primary cultured neurons



Fig. 3 | Release of endogenous eCB measured in primary cultured neurons



Fig. 4 | Using the $\mbox{GRAB}_{\rm eCB}$ sensor to detect eCB release in acute brain slices



Fig. 5 | Measuring *in vivo* eCB signals in the mouse basolateral amygdala in response to foot shock



Fig. 6 | Measuring *in vivo* eCB dynamics in the mouse hippocampus during running and seizure activity



Extended Data Fig. 1 | Strategy for optimizing and screening the GRABeCB sensor prototypes



Extended Data Fig. 2 | Full amino acid sequences of the eCB2.0 and eCBmut sensors



Extended Data Fig. 3 | The eCB2.0 responses to synthetic CB1R agonists



Extended Data Fig. 4 | 2P imaging of eCB2.0 in acute mouse striatal slices

		Service -		200	
and the second					

Extended Data Fig. 5 | eCB and Ca²⁺ waves in mouse hippocampal CA1 region during seizure activity

897 FIGURE LEGENDS

898

Fig. 1 | Development, optimization, and characterization of GRAB_{eCB} sensors in
 HEK293T cells

a, Schematic diagram depicting the design and principle of the GRAB_{eCB} sensor, consisting
 of the CB1 receptor and circular-permutated GFP. Ligand binding activates the sensor,
 inducing a change in fluorescence.

904 **b**, Screening and optimization steps of $GRAB_{eCB}$ sensors and the normalized fluorescence 905 response to 10 μ M 2-AG. eCBmut was generated by introducing the F177^{2.64}A mutation in 906 eCB2.0.

- 907 c, Expression and fluorescence change in response to 100 μM 2-AG and AEA in HEK293T
 908 cells expressing eCB2.0. Scale bar, 30 μm.
- d, Dose-response curves measured in HEK293T cells expressing eCB2.0 or eCBmut, with
 the corresponding EC₅₀ values for 2-AG and AEA shown; n = 3 wells each.

911 **e**, Normalized fluorescence change in response to the indicated compounds (each at 10 912 μ M) measured in cells expressing eCB2.0; n = 3–4 well each. Where indicated, the CB1R 913 inverse agonist AM251 was also added. LPA, lysophosphatidic acid; S1P, sphingosine-1-914 phosphate; ACh, acetylcholine; DA, dopamine; GABA, gamma-aminobutyric acid; Glu, 915 glutamate; Gly, glycine; NE, norepinephrine; 5-HT, 5-hydroxytryptamine; His, histamine;

- 916 Epi, epinephrine; Ado, adenosine; Tyr, tyramine.
- f, Illustration of the localized puffing system using a glass pipette containing 100 µM 2-AG
 and/or AM251 positioned above an eCB2.0-expressing cell. The dotted black line indicates
 the region of interest for line scanning. Scale bar, 30 µm.
- 920 **g**, Change in eCB2.0 fluorescence was measured in an eCB2.0-expressing cell using line
- scanning; where indicated, 2-AG and AM251 were puffed on the cell. The graph at the right
 summarizes the on and off time constants measured upon application of 2-AG and upon

923 application of AM251, respectively; n = 11 (T_{on}) and 4 (T_{off}) cells.

924 **h**, G protein coupling was measured using a BRET $G_{\beta\gamma}$ sensor in cells expressing CB1R, 925 eCB2.0, or eCBmut.

926 i, β-arrestin coupling was measured using the Tango assay in cells expressing CB1R,
 927 eCB2.0, or eCBmut.

- 928 Student's *t* tests were performed in **e** and **h**: ***p < 0.001; n.s., not significant.
- 929

930 Fig. 2 | Characterization of GRAB_{eCB} sensors in primary cultured neurons

a, Fluorescence microscopy images of primary cultured rat cortical neurons expressing
eCB2.0 (green) and either synaptophysin-mScarlet (top row; red) or PSD95-mScarlet
(bottom row; red). In the top row, arrows indicate axons; in the bottom row, arrowheads
indicate dendrites and dendritic spines. Scale bars, 30 µm (top row) and 15 µm (bottom
row).

b, Fluorescence microscopy images and fluorescence response to 100 µM 2-AG (top row)
or AEA (bottom row) in neurons expressing eCB2.0 (left) or eCBmut (right). The insets in
the eCBmut images are contrast-enhanced to show expression of the sensor. Scale bars,
30 µm.

940 **c**, (Left) example traces of $\Delta F/F_0$ measured in an eCB2.0-expressing neuron; the indicated 941 concentrations of 2-AG and AEA, followed by 100 μ M AM251, were applied. (Right) dose-942 response curves measured in neurons expressing eCB2.0 or eCBmut, with the 943 corresponding EC₅₀ values shown; n = 3 cultures each.

944 **d**, Summary of the change in eCB2.0 fluorescence in response to 100 μ M 2-AG or AEA 945 measured in the neurites and soma; n = 3 cultures each.

946 **e**, Example images (left), trace (middle), and quantification (right) of the change in eCB2.0

947 fluorescence in response to a 2-hour application of WIN55212-2, followed by AM251; n =

948 3 cultures each. Scale bar, 100 μ m.

Student's *t* test and one-way ANOVA were performed in **e**: ***p < 0.001; n.s., not significant.

951 Fig. 3 | Release of endogenous eCB measured in primary cultured neurons

a, Fluorescence microscopy images and fluorescence response measured in neurons co expressing R^{ncp}-iGluSnFR (red) and eCB2.0 (green). Scale bar, 200 μm.

b, Fluorescence microscopy images and fluorescence response measured in eCB2.0 expressing cells preloaded with Calbryte-590 (red). Scale bar, 200 μm.

956 **c**, Relative peak change in eCB2.0 fluorescence plotted against the relative peak change 957 in Calbryte590 fluorescence measured in response to the indicated number of electrical 958 pulses, normalized to the response evoked by 200 pulses; n = 4 cultures each. Also shown 959 is the response to 20 electrical pulses with no extracellular Ca²⁺.

960 d, Diagram depicting the pathway for eCB synthesis. DAG, diacylglycerol; DAGL,
961 diacylglycerol lipase; NAPE, *N*-arachidonoyl phosphatidylethanolamine; NAPE-PLD,
962 NAPE-hydrolyzing phospholipase D.

963 e, Representative traces (left) and expanded traces (right) showing the change in eCB2.0
964 fluorescence in responses to 20 electrical pulses applied before (1) and after (2) DO34
965 application; WIN55212-2 was applied at the end of the experiment.

966 f, Summary of the peak change in eCB2.0 fluorescence in response to 20 pulses applied
967 at baseline (Ctrl), 26 min after DO34 application, and after WIN55212-2 application; n = 3
968 cultures each.

969 g, Diagram depicting the degradation pathways for 2-AG and AEA. AA, arachidonic acid;
 970 MAGL, monoacylglycerol lipase; FAAH, fatty acid amide hydrolase.

971 h, Representative traces (left) and expanded traces (right) showing the change in eCB2.0
972 fluorescence in response to 20 electrical pulses applied before (1) and after (2) JZL184 or
973 URB597 application; AM251 was applied at the end of the experiment.

974 **i**, Summary of the decay time constant (τ_{decay}) measured at baseline (Ctrl) and 68 min after 975 application of either JZL184 or URB597; n = 3 cultures each.

j, Pseudocolor images showing spontaneous changes in eCB2.0 fluorescence transients,
single pulse–evoked fluorescence change, and the change in fluorescence induced by 10
µM WIN55212-2 (note the difference in scale). Scale bar, 100 µm.

k, Time-lapse pseudocolor images taken from the area shown by the bottom dashed
rectangle in panel j. Scale bar, 10 μm.

981 I, Traces from the experiment shown in panel k, showing the change in fluorescence
982 measured spontaneously, induced by a single pulse, or in the presence of AM251.
983 Normalized traces with the corresponding rise time constants are shown at the right.

984 **m**, Spatial profile of the transient change in fluorescence shown in panel **k**. The summary
985 data are shown at the right; n = 12 transients.

986 n, Cumulative transient change in eCB2.0 fluorescence measured during 19 mins of
 987 recording in the absence (left) or presence (right) of AM251 (right). Pseudocolor images
 988 were calculated as the average temporal projection subtracted from the maximum temporal
 989 projection. Scale bar, 100 µm.

990 o, Summary of the frequency of transient changes in eCB2.0 fluorescence measured
 991 before (Ctrl) and after AM251 application; n = 5 & 3 with 10-min recording/session.

992 Student's *t* tests were performed in **f**, **I** and **o**: *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001.

Fig. 4 | Using the GRAB_{eCB} sensor to detect eCB release in acute brain slices

a, Schematic diagram depicting the strategy for virus injection in the dorsolateral striatum
 (DLS), followed by the preparation of acute brain slices used for electrical stimulation and

997 photometry recording. The dashed box corresponds to the image shown in panel **b**.

b, Fluorescence image of a coronal slice prepared from a mouse following injection of AAVsyn-eCB2.0 in the DLS, with a diagram showing the electrode position and photometry
recording. Scale bar, 1 mm.

1001 c, Representative traces showing the change in eCB2.0 fluorescence evoked by 2, 5, or
1002 10 electrical pulses applied at the indicated frequencies.

1003 **d**, Peak change in eCB2.0 fluorescence (left), rise $t_{1/2}$ (middle), and decay time constant 1004 (right) plotted against stimulation frequency for 2, 5, and 10 pulses; n = 6 slices.

e, Representative traces (left) and summary of the peak change in eCB2.0 fluorescence
(right) evoked by electrical pulses at the indicated frequency in slices expressing eCB2.0
in the absence or presence of AM251 and in slices expressing eCBmut; n = 3–4 slices
each.

f, Schematic diagram depicting the strategy for virus injection in the hippocampal CA1
region, followed by the preparation of acute slices for electrical stimulation and 2-photon
imaging.

g, (Left) fluorescence image of eCB2.0 expressed in the hippocampal CA1 region, showing
 the position of the stimulating electrode. (Right) pseudocolor images showing the change

in eCB2.0 fluorescence at baseline and after 10 or 50 pulses applied at 20 Hz. The dashed
 circle shows the ROI for quantification. Scale bar, 100 µm.

1016 **h**, Representative traces and summary of the peak change in eCB2.0 fluorescence evoked
1017 by electrical pulses applied at the indicated frequencies; n = 5 slices.

i, Time course of the change in eCB2.0 fluorescence; where indicated, AEA and AM251were applied.

j, Representative traces of the change in eCB2.0 fluorescence evoked by electricalstimulation in the absence and presence of AM251.

1023 Fig. 5 | Measuring *in vivo* eCB signals in the mouse basolateral amygdala in 1024 response to foot shock

a, Schematic diagram depicting the strategy for viral expression in the basolateralamygdala and fiber photometry recording during foot shock.

b, Fluorescence microscopy image showing eCB2.0 (green) and mCherry (red) expressed
in the BLA and the placement of the recording fiber; the nuclei were counterstained with
DAPI (blue). Scale bar, 300 μm.

1030 c, Representative single-trial traces of the change in eCB2.0 and mCherry fluorescence;
1031 an electrical foot shock (2-sec duration) was applied at time 0.

1032 d, Pseudocolor change in eCB2.0 fluorescence before and after a 2-sec foot shock. Shown
1033 are five consecutive trials in one mouse, time-aligned to the onset of each foot shock.

e, (Left) average traces of the change in eCB2.0 and mCherry (top) and eCBmut and
 mCherry (bottom) fluorescence; the gray shaded area indicates application of an electrical
 foot shock. (Right) summary of the peak change in fluorescence; n = 6 mice each.

1037 **f**, Summary of rise and decay time constants measured for the change in eCB2.0 1038 fluorescence in response to foot shock; n = 18–21 trials in 6 animals.

1039 Student's *t* tests were performed in \mathbf{e} ; ***p < 0.001.

Fig. 6 | Measuring *in vivo* eCB dynamics in the mouse hippocampus during running and seizure activity

a, Schematic diagram depicting the strategy for viral expression and cannula placement inthe mouse hippocampus.

b, (Left) immunofluorescence image showing eCB2.0 expression in the hippocampal CA1
region in a coronal brain slice. Scale bars, 200 μm and 50 μm (inset). (Right) *In vivo* 2photon image of the pyramidal layer in the hippocampal CA1 region, showing eCB2.0
(green) and jRGECO1a (red) fluorescence. Scale bar, 50 μm.

c, Schematic cartoon illustrating the experiment in which a mouse expressing eCB2.0 and
 jRGECO1a in the hippocampal CA1 is placed on a treadmill and allowed to run
 spontaneously while fluorescence is measured using 2-photon microscopy.

- d, Average traces of eCB2.0/eCBmut and jRGECO1a transients recorded in the soma of
 individual neurons in the pyramidal layer upon the start and stop of spontaneous running
 episodes (dashed lines).
- 1055 e, Summary of the peak responses in panel d; n = 8 and 4 mice each for eCB2.0 and
 1056 eCBmut, respectively.
- 1057 **f**, Summary of the rise and decay kinetics of the jRGECO1a and eCB2.0 signals measured
 1058 at the start and end of spontaneous running; n = 7 mice.
- g, Schematic diagram depicting the electrode placement and 2-photon imaging in mice
 expressing eCB2.0 and jRGECO1a in the hippocampal CA1 region; the electrode is used
 to induce kindling seizure activity and to measure the local field potential (LFP).
- h, Example LFP trace (top) and medio-lateral projections (line profile) of jRGECO1a
 (middle) and eCB2.0 (bottom) fluorescence during stimulus-induced non-convulsive
 seizures and a subsequent spreading wave. The dashed vertical line at time 0 indicates
 the stimulus onset.

i, Individual (thin gray lines) and average (thick lines) traces of the change in jRGECO1a
and eCB2.0/eCBmut fluorescence measured during seizure activity. The dashed vertical
line at time 0 indicates the stimulus onset. The summary of the area under the curve (AUC)
is shown at the right; n = 8 and 4 for eCB2.0 and eCBmut, respectively.

- **j**, Spreading eCB wave measured through the hippocampal CA1 region after seizure activity. ROIs representing individual neurons are pseudocolored based on the peak time of their eCB2.0 signal relative to the peak time of the average signal, and the arrow shows the direction of the wave. a, anterior; I, lateral; m, medial; p, posterior.
- 1074 k, Traces of eCB2.0 fluorescence measured in individual cells sampled systematically
 1075 along a line fitted to the spreading wave. The dashed line shows the spreading of peak
 1076 signals.

1077 **I**, Velocity and direction of the spreading jRGECO1a and eCB2.0 waves. The length of 1078 each arrow indicates the velocity in μ m/s. In each panel, each colored arrow indicates an 1079 individual session, and the thick black line indicates the average. n = 7 sessions in 6 mice. 1080 Student's *t* tests were performed in **e**, **f** and **i**: *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001, and n.s., not 1081 significant.

1083 Extended Data Fig. 1 | Strategy for optimizing and screening the GRABeCB sensor 1084 prototypes 1085 **a**, Schematic diagram depicting the strategy used to generate the various GRAB_{eCB} 1086 sensors for this study, including intermediate steps. 1087 **b**, Location of the 8 residues in the cpEGFP moiety used to optimize the GRAB_{eCB} sensor. 1088 c, Location of the 3 residues in the GPCR ligand-binding pocket. The receptor's seven 1089 transmembrane domains (TM1 through TM7) and the ligand molecule (AEA) are shown. 1090 d, Normalized dose-response curves for the change in eCB1.0, eCB1.5, eCB2.0, and 1091 eCBmut fluorescence in response to 2-AG measured in HEK293T cells; n = 3 wells each. 1092 1093 Extended Data Fig. 2 | Full amino acid sequences of the eCB2.0 and eCBmut sensors 1094 a, Schematic diagram depicting the structure of the GRAB_{eCB2.0} sensor. The IgK leader 1095 sequence and the sequence derived from GRAB_{NE} are shown. 1096 **b**, Amino acids sequence of the eCB2.0 sensor. The phenylalanine residue at position 1097 177^{2.64} in the CB1 receptor was mutated to an alanine to generate the eCB mutant sensor (indicated by the gray box). Note that the numbering used in the figure corresponds to the 1098 1099 start of the IgK leader sequence. 1100 1101 Extended Data Fig. 3 | The eCB2.0 responses to synthetic CB1R agonists 1102 a, Dose-response curves for WIN55212-2 measured in HEK293T cells expressing eCB2.0, 1103 with the corresponding structure and EC_{50} value shown; n = 3 wells each. 1104 b, Dose-response curves for CP55940 measured in HEK293T cells expressing eCB2.0, 1105 with the corresponding structure and EC_{50} value shown; n = 3 wells each. 1106 1107 Extended Data Fig. 4 | Expression and response of eCB2.0 in acute mouse striatal 1108 slices 1109 a, Two-photon fluorescence images of eCB2.0 expressed in the striatum before (saline) 1110 and after AEA and AM251 application. Arrows indicate eCB2.0 expressing neurites. Scale 1111 bar, 10 µm. 1112 b, Time course of the change in eCB2.0 fluorescence; where indicated, AEA and AM251 1113 were applied. 1114 1115 Extended Data Fig. 5 | eCB and Ca²⁺ waves in mouse hippocampal CA1 region during 1116 seizure activity 1117 In vivo two-photon fluorescence images of eCB2.0 and jRGECO1a expressed in the 1118 mouse hippocampal CA1 region before and after stimulus evoked seizure activity. Frames 1119 were extracted from those shown in Supplementary Video 1. Seconds (s) after the stimulus 1120 are indicated. Scale bar, 100 µm. 1121 1122 Supplementary video 1 | eCB and calcium signals in mouse hippocampal CA1 during 1123 seizures Fluorescence movies of eCB2.0 and jRGECO1a in the mouse hippocampal CA1 region 1124 during seizure activity, which is indicated by the LFP recording. The video is played at 3 1125 1126 times the speed.